GLENCORE

FAX COVER SHEET

TO:

US Department of Transportation

Office of Hazardous Materials Standards

FAX NUMBER: (202) 366-3012

ATTN: Diane Lavalle

FROM: Michael O'Connell

DATE: February 22, 2001

NO. OF PAGES: 5

(including cover)

Test results and Application for De-listing of Ferrosilicon

Please find attached Silicon Technology (Pty) Ltd test results.

Best regards,

Michael O'Connell

my I level

Glencore Ltd.

010061B

1. Particulars of Applicant

Company Name:

Silicon Technology (Pty) Ltd

Blairgowrie Drive

P.O. Box 1
Bailengeich
2942
South Africa

Tel no: Fax no: (+27) 34 377 7210 (+27) 34 377 7012

Contact Person:

Hugh M Stewart

2. Background

Silicon Technology is a producer of ferrosilicon containing between 65 and 80% Si. This material has been classified "Dangerous when Wet", under the dangerous goods class 4.3, UN Number 1408. These materials are exported from South Africa to final destinations over the world and in particular the USA.

3. Regulation from which relief is seeked

Code of Federal Regulations: Transportation (CFR), Title 49, Parts 100-177, 1993). Silicon Technology would like to apply for exemption from the regulations or alternatively having the product de-listed from the hazardous material list.

4. Modes of transportation

Material is transported in sea going vessels, mostly in bulk, but also at times in standard 20 foot containers or break bulk in 1 metric ton bulk bags, or in the form of bricks packed on wooden pallets and shrink wrapped.

The material destined for the USA is shipped mainly through the port of New Orleans, from where the material is barged up the river system to warehouses in the Pittsburgh Pennsylvania or Marietta Ohio areas.

5. Basis for the proposed exemption/approval

It is known that other ferrosilicon traders in the USA have been granted exemption from the federal regulations for shipping ferrosilicon in the USA. This had been done on the basis of proving that gas generated by their material when exposed to water, is substantially less than the required amount of gas generation specified by the regulations.

Silicon Technology has had tests done on its materials by an independent laboratory, namely the South African Bureau of Standards for gas generation in terms of SABS 0228. SABS 0228 is based on the UN Recommendations on the transport of dangerous goods. The test results for the different types of materials, are attached to this document. It is clear from the various results that gas generation from the ferrosilicon when exposed to water, is insignificant compared to the federal regulations requirement of a rate greater than 1 liter per kilogram of material, per hour.

6. Materials planned for transportation

- a. Ferrosilicon 75% Si, shipped in bulk, break bulk or containerised.
- b. Ferrosilicon dross (typically 40-48% Si), shipped in bidik, break bulk or containerised.
- c. Ferrosilicon bricks, shipped break bulk (shrink wrapped on wooden pallets)

ANNEXURE A

SABS TEST REPORT NO 5140/1412630/T100%

30.Jan. 2001 15:28

Annexure A

TEST REPORT TOETSVERSLAG

SABS

Bouth African Bureau of Standards 1 Dr Lategan Road, Groenkloof Private Beg X101, Pretoria, 6001 Tel (012) 428-7911 Fax (012) 344-1588 int. code +27 12

Suid-Afrikaense Buro VII Standaarde Dr Lateganweg 1, Groenkloof Privantsek X191, Pretoris, 0001 Tol (012) 498-7911 Faka (012) 344-1958 Int. kode 427 12

Your ref: Our ref:

Tol:

O/No. 30381 5140/DG/1 MM Fourle (Mrs)

Enquiries:

(012) 428-7070 5140/1412630/T1001

Report No: Date:

7 April 2000

Bladsy/Page 1 van/of 2

Silicon Technology (Pty) Ltd PO Box 1 BALLENGEICH 2042

FERROSILICON

- 1 DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLES
- Five samples of ferrosilicon in plastics bags closed with staples and marked as follows: 1.1
 - a) SP 99/058; FeSi 0 x 2 mm; RBM.
 - b) SPS 99/058; FeSi 3 x 8 mm; ISCOR.
 - c) SP 99/058; FeSI 30 x 80 mm; Slag lumps.
 - d) FeSi 27 x 75 mm; U.S.A.
 - e) SP/058; 10 x 50 mm; FeSi Bricks*.

PADTE - Condensed moisture was observed on the inside of the plastics bag.

- The samples were received on 12 January 2000 and the testing commenced on 7 February 2000. 1,2
- REQUEST 2
- To test the samples for gas emission on contact with water. 2.1
- To classify the samples for transportation purposes. 2.2

/3. METHODS

This report refates only in the specific sample(s) tested as identified herein. It does not imply SARS approved of the quality and/or performance of the fremis) in question and the last results do not apply to any similar item that has not been reasted. (Net'er also to the complete conditions printed on the back of official test reports.)

Hierdis versisg het elegs betrekking op die spesifieke monétarja) wat geforte is, soon hierin geldemiliseer. Dit impliseer nie dat die kwaliteit an/of proetasie van die batrokko artikelja) deur die BABS goedgaksur ie nie en tile toetsresuitate is nie van toepassing op 'n soortgelyke arlikel wat nie getoets is nie, fâlen ook die volledige voorwaardes op die rugkant van emplelike toetsversies.) South African Bureau of Standards

Suid-Afrikaanse Buro vir Standaarde

REPORT VERSLAG No. 5140/1412830/T1001

Pege/Bladay 2 of/van 2

3 METHODS

- 3.1 The gas emission on contact with water was done in accordance with clause 9.3.2.3.4 of SABS 0228, The Identification and classification of dangerous substances and goods.
- 3.2 The samples were classified in accordance with SABS 0228.

NOTE - SABS 0223 is based on the UN "Recommendations on the transport of dangerous goods" that have been modified for South African demands.

RESULTS

Sample description	Measuring time, h	G <u>es</u> evolution Økg-h
SP 99/058; FeSi 0 x 2 mm; RBM	209	0,0019
SPS 99/058; FeSI 3 x 8 mm; ISCOR	144	AUD#
SP 99/008; FeSt 30 x 80 mm; Slag tumps	168	none
FeSI 27 x 75 mm; U.S.A.	432	none
SP/038; 10 x 50 mm, FeSi Bricks	64,26	0,0101

5 CLASSIFICATION

- 5.1 A product is regarded se non-hazardous for transportation purposes if the rate of flammable gas evolution is lower than 1 l/kg per hour.
- 6.2 All five samples submitted are therefore non-hazardous for transportation.

MM Fourie (Mrs)

SPECIALIST: DANGEROUS GOODS

reports/T1001(mh)

This report relates only to the samples mated and is issued subject to the conditions printed on the back of Page 1, it does not imply approval by the South African Bureau of Standards of the quality and/or performance of the commodity that has been tested. It does not authorize the use of the Standardization Mark.

Hierdie versiag is van toepsselng alege op die getoetste moneters en word uitgereik behoudens die voorwaardes op die keersy van bladsy 1 gedruk. Die beteken nie dat die Suid-Afrikaanse Buro vir Standearde die kwaliteit en/of werkverrigting van die getoetste artikej goedkeur nie. Dit verleen ook nie die rap om die Standeardmark te gebruik nie.